

QUASI GENERALIZED CR-LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS OF INDEFINITE NEARLY SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

FORTUNÉ MASSAMBA*, SAMUEL SSEKAJJA**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce and study a new class of CR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold, called Quasi Generalized Cauchy-Riemann (QGCR) lightlike submanifold. We give some characterization theorems for the existence of QGCR-lightlike submanifolds and finally derive necessary and sufficient conditions for some distributions to be integrable.

1. INTRODUCTION

Blair [3] introduced the notions of a nearly Sasakian manifold as a special class of almost contact metric manifolds. An indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold differs from an indefinite Sasakian manifold, since in the former, the manifold is not necessarily normal [2]. In fact, any normal nearly Sasakian manifold is Sasakian (see [3] and references therein for more details). From then, many papers have appeared on these manifolds and their submanifolds [2], [6], [1] and [19]. In these papers, the geometry is restricted to a Riemannian case and thus, little or no attempt has been made to investigate their lightlike (null) cases. Lightlike geometry has its applications in mathematical physics, in particular, general relativity and electromagnetism [7]. Differential geometry of lightlike submanifolds was introduced by Bejancu and Duggal [7] and later studied by many authors [8], [11], [12], [14], [16], [17] and references therein.

In [11], the authors initiated the study of Generalized Cauchy-Riemann (GCR) lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian manifold, in which the structural vector field, ξ , of the almost contact metric structure $(\bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$ was assumed to be tangent to the submanifold. Moreover, when ξ is tangent to the submanifold, Calin [5] proved that it belongs to the screen distribution. However, the structural vector field is globally defined on the tangent bundle of the ambient manifold, which implies that other classes of submanifolds with non-tangential structural vector fields are certainly possible. Recently, a few papers have been published on the subject, focusing on ascreen and generic lightlike submanifolds ([12], [13]). Thus, the absence of evidence of research in the geometry of lightlike submanifolds of nearly Sasakian manifolds and the fact that ξ belongs to the tangent bundle of the ambient space has motivated us to introduce a new class of CR-lightlike

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C40, 53C50.

Key words and phrases. Nearly Sasakian manifolds, contact CR-lightlike submanifolds, totally umbilical and totally geodesic lightlike submanifolds.

submanifold of a nearly Sasakian manifold, known as Quasi Generalized Cauchy-Riemann (QGCR) lightlike submanifold.

The objective of this paper is to characterize totally umbilical and totally geodesic QGCR-lightlike submanifolds of a nearly Sasakian manifold. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the basic notions of nearly Sasakian structures and lightlike submanifolds which we refer to in the remaining sections. In Section 3, we introduce QGCR-lightlike submanifolds. Section 4 is devoted to the non-existence theorems regarding totally umbilical and totally geodesic QGCR-lightlike submanifolds. Finally, in Section 5 we derive the necessary and sufficient conditions for the integrability of the key distributions of a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $\overline{M}$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold endowed with an almost contact structure $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$, i.e. $\overline{\phi}$ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, ξ is a vector field, and η is a 1-form satisfying

$$\overline{\phi}^2 = -\mathbb{I} + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta \circ \overline{\phi} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\phi}(\xi) = 0. \quad (2.1)$$

Then $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta, \overline{g})$ is called an indefinite almost contact metric structure on $\overline{M}$ if $(\overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$ is an almost contact structure on $\overline{M}$ and $\overline{g}$ is a semi-Riemannian metric on $\overline{M}$ such that [4], for any vector field $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ on $\overline{M}$,

$$\overline{g}(\overline{\phi} \overline{X}, \overline{\phi} \overline{Y}) = \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) - \eta(\overline{X}) \eta(\overline{Y}), \quad (2.2)$$

It follows that, for any vector $\overline{X}$ on $\overline{M}$,

$$\eta(\overline{X}) = \overline{g}(\xi, \overline{X}). \quad (2.3)$$

If, moreover,

$$(\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \overline{\phi}) \overline{Y} + (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Y}} \overline{\phi}) \overline{X} = 2\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) \xi - \eta(\overline{Y}) \overline{X} - \eta(\overline{X}) \overline{Y}, \quad (2.4)$$

for any vector fields $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}$ on $\overline{M}$, where $\overline{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection for the semi-Riemannian metric $\overline{g}$, we call $\overline{M}$ an *indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold*.

We denote by $\Gamma(\Xi)$ the set of smooth sections of the vector bundle Ξ . Let Ω be the fundamental 2-form of $\overline{M}$ defined by

$$\Omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{\phi} \overline{Y}), \quad \overline{X}, \overline{Y} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M}). \quad (2.5)$$

Replacing $\overline{Y}$ by ξ in (2.4) we obtain

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \xi - \overline{\phi}^2 \nabla_{\xi} \overline{X} + \overline{\phi} \nabla_{\xi} \overline{\phi} \overline{X} = -\overline{\phi} \overline{X}, \quad (2.6)$$

for any $\overline{X} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$.

Introduce a $(1,1)$ -tensor $\overline{H}$ on $\overline{M}$ taking

$$\overline{H} \overline{X} = -\overline{\phi}^2 \nabla_{\xi} \overline{X} + \overline{\phi} \nabla_{\xi} \overline{\phi} \overline{X},$$

for any $\overline{X} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$, such that (2.6) reduces to

$$\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{X}} \xi + \overline{H} \overline{X} = -\overline{\phi} \overline{X}. \quad (2.7)$$

Lemma 2.1. *The linear operator $\overline{H}$ has the properties*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H}\overline{\phi} + \overline{\phi}\overline{H} &= 0, \quad \overline{H}\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \overline{H} = 0, \\ \text{and } \overline{g}(\overline{H}\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) &= -\overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{H}\overline{Y}) \quad (\text{i.e. } \overline{H} \text{ is skew-symmetric}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. The proof follows from a straightforward calculation. $\square$

By (2.7), it is easy to check that

$$\overline{\nabla}_\xi \xi = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The fundamental 2-form Ω and the 1-form η are related as follows.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta, \overline{g})$ be an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold. Then,*

$$\Omega(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = d\eta(\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) + \overline{g}(\overline{H}\overline{X}, \overline{Y}), \quad (2.10)$$

for any $\overline{X}, \overline{Y} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$. Moreover, $\overline{M}$ is Sasakian if and only if $\overline{H}$ vanishes identically on $\overline{M}$.

Proof. The relation (2.10) follows from a straightforward calculation. The second assertion follows from Theorem 3.2 in [2]. $\square$

Note that, for any $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$,

$$\overline{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Z}}\overline{\phi})\overline{X}, \overline{Y}) = -\overline{g}(\overline{X}, (\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{Z}}\overline{\phi})\overline{Y}). \quad (2.11)$$

This means that the tensor $\overline{\nabla}\overline{\phi}$ is skew-symmetric.

Let $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ be an $(m+n)$ -dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold of constant index ν , $1 \leq \nu \leq m+n$ and M be a submanifold of $\overline{M}$ of codimension n . We assume that both m and n are ≥ 1 . At a point $p \in M$, we define the orthogonal complement $T_p M^\perp$ of the tangent space $T_p M$ by

$$T_p M^\perp = \{X \in \Gamma(T_p M) : \overline{g}(X, Y) = 0, \forall Y \in \Gamma(T_p M)\}.$$

We put $\text{Rad } T_p M = \text{Rad } T_p M^\perp = T_p M \cap T_p M^\perp$. The submanifold M of $\overline{M}$ is said to be r -lightlike submanifold (one supposes that the index of $\overline{M}$ is $\nu \geq r$), if the mapping

$$\text{Rad } TM : p \in M \longrightarrow \text{Rad } T_p M$$

defines a smooth distribution on M of rank $r > 0$. We call $\text{Rad } TM$ the radical distribution on M . In the sequel, an r -lightlike submanifold will simply be called a *lightlike submanifold* and g is *lightlike metric*, unless we need to specify r .

Let $S(TM)$ be a screen distribution which is a semi-Riemannian complementary distribution of $\text{Rad } TM$ in TM , that is,

$$TM = \text{Rad } TM \perp S(TM). \quad (2.12)$$

Choose a screen transversal bundle $S(TM^\perp)$, which is semi-Riemannian and complementary to $\text{Rad } TM$ in $TM^\perp$. Since, for any local basis $\{E_i\}$ of $\text{Rad } TM$, there exists a local null frame $\{N_i\}$ of sections with values in the orthogonal complement of $S(TM^\perp)$ in $S(TM)^\perp$ such that $g(E_i, N_j) = \delta_{ij}$, it follows that there exists a lightlike transversal vector bundle $ltr(TM)$ locally spanned by $\{N_i\}$ [7].

Let $\text{tr}(TM)$ be complementary (but not orthogonal) vector bundle to TM in $T\overline{M}$. Then,

$$\text{tr}(TM) = l\text{tr}(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp), \quad (2.13)$$

$$T\overline{M} = S(TM) \perp S(TM^\perp) \perp \{\text{Rad } TM \oplus l\text{tr}(TM)\}. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that the distribution $S(TM)$ is not unique, and is canonically isomorphic to the factor vector bundle $TM/\text{Rad } TM$. [11]

We say that a lightlike submanifold M of $\overline{M}$ is

- (1) r -lightlike if $1 \leq r < \min\{m, n\}$;
- (2) co-isotropic if $1 \leq r = n < m$, $S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$;
- (3) isotropic if $1 \leq r = m < n$, $S(TM) = \{0\}$;
- (4) totally lightlike if $r = n = m$, $S(TM) = S(TM^\perp) = \{0\}$.

Similar to [12], we use the following range of indices in this paper,

$$i, j, k \in \{1, \dots, r\}, \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \{r+1, \dots, n\}.$$

Consider a local quasi-orthonormal fields of frames of $\overline{M}$ along M , on U as

$$\{E_1, \dots, E_r, N_1, \dots, N_r, X_{r+1}, \dots, X_m, W_{1+r}, \dots, W_n\},$$

where $\{X_{r+1}, \dots, X_m\}$ and $\{W_{1+r}, \dots, W_n\}$ are respectively orthonormal bases of $\Gamma(S(TM)|_U)$ and $\Gamma(S(TM^\perp)|_U)$ and that $\epsilon_\alpha = \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha)$ be the signatures of W_α .

Let P be the projection morphism of TM on to $S(TM)$. Using the decomposition (2.14), consider the projection morphisms L and S of $\text{tr}(TM)$ on $l\text{tr}(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$, respectively. Then, the Gauss-Wiengarten equations [10] of an r -lightlike submanifold M and $S(TM)$ are the following;

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^l(X, Y) N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n h_\alpha^s(X, Y) W_\alpha, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N_i = -A_{N_i} X + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X) N_j + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n \rho_{i\alpha}(X) W_\alpha, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X W_\alpha = -A_{W_\alpha} X + \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{\alpha i}(X) N_i + \sum_{\beta=r+1}^n \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(X) W_\beta, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\nabla_X PY = \nabla_X^* PY + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^*(X, PY) E_i, \quad (2.18)$$

$$\nabla_X E_i = -A_{E_i}^* X - \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ji}(X) E_j, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (2.19)$$

where $h^l(X, Y) = Lh(X, Y)$, $h^s(X, Y) = Sh(X, Y)$, ∇ and ∇^* are the induced connections on TM and $S(TM)$ respectively, h_i^l and h_α^s are symmetric bilinear forms known as *local lightlike* and *screen fundamental* forms of TM respectively. Also h_i^* are the *second fundamental forms* of $S(TM)$. A_{N_i} , $A_{E_i}^*$ and A_{W_α} are

linear operators on TM while τ_{ij} , $\rho_{i\alpha}$, $\varphi_{\alpha i}$ and $\sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ are 1-forms on TM . It is known [7, 10] that

$$h_i^l(X, Y) = \bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_X Y, E_i), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (2.20)$$

from which we deduce the independence of h_i^l on the choice of $S(TM)$.

The *second fundamental tensor* of M is given by

$$h(X, Y) = \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^l(X, Y)N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n h_\alpha^s(X, Y)W_\alpha, \quad (2.21)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. It is easy to see that ∇^* is a metric connection on $S(TM)$ while ∇ is generally not a metric connection and is given by

$$(\nabla_X g)(Y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^r \{h_i^l(X, Y)\lambda_i(Z) + h_i^l(X, Z)\lambda_i(Y)\},$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and λ_i are 1-forms given by

$$\lambda_i(X) = \bar{g}(X, N_i), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (2.22)$$

The above three local second fundamental forms are related to their shape operators by the following set of equations

$$g(A_{E_i}^* X, Y) = h_i^l(X, Y) + \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^l(X, E_i)\lambda_j(Y), \quad \bar{g}(A_{E_i}^* X, N_j) = 0, \quad (2.23)$$

$$g(A_{W_\alpha} X, Y) = \epsilon_\alpha h_\alpha^s(X, Y) + \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{\alpha i}(X)\lambda_i(Y), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\bar{g}(A_{W_\alpha} X, N_i) = \epsilon_\alpha \rho_{i\alpha}(X), \quad (2.25)$$

$$g(A_{N_i} X, Y) = h_i^*(X, \mathcal{P}Y), \quad \lambda_j(A_{N_i} X) + \lambda_i(A_{N_j} X) = 0, \quad (2.26)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

For any r -lightlike submanifold, replacing Y by E_i in (2.24), we get

$$h_\alpha^s(X, E_i) = -\epsilon_\alpha \varphi_{\alpha i}(X), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (2.27)$$

A lightlike submanifold (M, g) , of a semi-Riemannian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g})$ is said to be totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$ [10] if there is a smooth transversal vector field $\mathcal{H} \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$, called the transversal curvature vector of M such that

$$h(X, Y) = \mathcal{H}g(X, Y), \quad (2.28)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Moreover, it is easy to see that M is totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$, if and only if on each coordinate neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ there exist smooth vector fields $\mathcal{H}^l \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $\mathcal{H}^s \in \Gamma(S(TM^\perp))$ and smooth functions $\mathcal{H}_i^l \in F(\text{ltr}(TM))$ and $\mathcal{H}_\alpha^s \in F(S(TM^\perp))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h^l(X, Y) &= \mathcal{H}^l g(X, Y), & h^s(X, Y) &= \mathcal{H}^s g(X, Y), \\ h_i^l(X, Y) &= \mathcal{H}_i^l g(X, Y), & h_\alpha^s(X, Y) &= \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s g(X, Y), \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

The above definition is independent of the choice of the screen distribution.

3. QUASI GENERALIZED CR-LIGHTLIKE SUBMANIFOLDS

Generally, the structure vector field ξ belongs to $T\overline{M}$. Therefore, we define it according to decomposition (2.14) as follows;

$$\xi = \xi_S + \xi_{S^\perp} + \xi_R + \xi_l, \quad (3.1)$$

where ξ_S is a smooth vector field of $S(TM)$ and $\xi_{S^\perp}, \xi_R, \xi_l$ are defined as follows

$$\xi_R = \sum_{i=1}^r a_i E_i, \quad \xi_l = \sum_{i=1}^r b_i N_i, \quad \xi_{S^\perp} = \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha W_\alpha \quad (3.2)$$

with $a_i = \eta(N_i)$, $b_i = \eta(E_i)$ and $c_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha \eta(W_\alpha)$ all smooth functions on $\overline{M}$.

Generalised Cauchy Riemann (GCR) lightlike submanifolds were introduced in [10, 11], in which the structure vector field ξ was assumed tangent to the submanifold. Contrary to this assumption, we introduce a special class of CR -lightlike submanifold in which ξ belongs to $T\overline{M}$, called *Quasi Generalized Cauchy-Riemann (QGCR)-lightlike submanifold* as follows.

Definition 3.1. Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}, \overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$. We say that M is Quasi Generalized Cauchy-Riemann (QGCR)-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) there exist two distributions D_1 and D_2 of $Rad(TM)$ such that

$$Rad TM = D_1 \oplus D_2, \quad \overline{\phi}D_1 = D_1, \quad \overline{\phi}D_2 \subset S(TM), \quad (3.3)$$

(ii) there exist vector bundles D_0 and $\overline{D}$ over $S(TM)$ such that

$$S(TM) = \{\overline{\phi}D_2 \oplus \overline{D}\} \perp D_0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\text{with } \overline{\phi}D_0 \subseteq D_0, \quad \overline{D} = \overline{\phi}\mathcal{S} \oplus \overline{\phi}\mathcal{L}, \quad (3.5)$$

where D_0 is a non-degenerate distribution on M , $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are respectively vector subbundles of $ltr(TM)$ and $S(TM^\perp)$.

If $D_1 \neq \{0\}$, $D_0 \neq \{0\}$, $D_2 \neq \{0\}$ and $\mathcal{S} \neq \{0\}$, then M is called a *proper QGCR-lightlike submanifold*.

Let M be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$. If the structure vector field ξ is tangent to M , then, $\xi \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. The proof of this is similar to one given by Calin in Sasakian case [5]. In this case, if $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S})$ and $Y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, then $\eta(X) = \eta(Y) = 0$ and

$$\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}X, \overline{\phi}Y) = \overline{g}(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0,$$

which reduces the direct sum $\overline{D}$ in (3.5) to the orthogonal direct sum $\overline{D} = \overline{\phi}\mathcal{S} \perp \overline{\phi}\mathcal{L}$, and thus $\overline{\phi}\overline{D} = \mathcal{S} \perp \mathcal{L}$. Since $\xi \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ and ξ is neither a vector field in $\overline{\phi}D_2$ nor in $\overline{D}$, ξ is in D_0 . By $\overline{\phi}D_0 \subseteq D_0$, there exist a distribution D'_0 of rank $(rank(D_0) - 1)$ and satisfying $\overline{\phi}D'_0 = D'_0$ such that $D_0 = D'_0 \perp \langle \xi \rangle$, where

$\langle \xi \rangle$ is the 1-dimensional distribution spanned by ξ . Therefore, the QGCR-lightlike submanifold tangent to ξ reverts to a GCR-lightlike submanifold [11].

Proposition 3.2. *A QGCR-lightlike submanifold M of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ tangent to the structure vector field ξ is a GCR-lightlike submanifold.*

Next, we follow Yano-Kon [20, p. 353] definition of contact CR-submanifolds and state the following definition for a quasi contact CR-lightlike submanifold.

Definition 3.3. Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{g}, \overline{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$. We say that M is quasi contact CR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$ if the following conditions are satisfied;

- (i) $\text{Rad } TM$ is a distribution on M such that $\text{Rad } TM \cap \overline{\phi}(\text{Rad } TM) = \{0\}$;
- (ii) there exist vector bundles D_0 and D' over $S(TM)$ such that

$$S(TM) = \{\overline{\phi}(\text{Rad } TM) \oplus D'\} \perp D_0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\text{with } \overline{\phi}D_0 \subseteq D_0, \quad D' = \overline{\phi}L_1 \oplus \overline{\phi}\text{ltr}(TM), \quad (3.7)$$

where D_0 is a non-degenerate, L_1 is vector subbundle of $S(TM^\perp)$.

It is easy to see that when the structure vector field ξ is tangent to the quasi Contact CR-lightlike submanifold M , then M is a contact CR.

Proposition 3.4. *A QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$, is a quasi contact CR if and only if $D_1 = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let M be a quasi contact CR-lightlike submanifold. Then $\overline{\phi}(\text{Rad } TM)$ is a distribution on M such that $\overline{\phi}(\text{Rad } TM) \cap \text{Rad } TM = \{0\}$. Therefore, $D_2 = \text{Rad } TM$ and $D_1 = \{0\}$. Hence, $\overline{\phi}(\text{ltr}(TM)) \cap \text{ltr}(TM) = \{0\}$. Then it follows that $\overline{\phi}(\text{ltr}(TM)) \subset S(TM)$. The converse is obvious. $\square$

From (2.12), the tangent bundle of any QGCR lightlike submanifold, TM , can be rewritten as

$$TM = D \oplus \widehat{D}, \quad \text{where } D = D_0 \perp D_1 \text{ and } \widehat{D} = \{D_2 \perp \overline{\phi}D_2\} \oplus \overline{D}.$$

Notice that D is invariant with respect to $\overline{\phi}$ while $\widehat{D}$ is not generally anti-invariant with respect to $\overline{\phi}$.

Note the following for a proper QGCR-lightlike submanifold $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of an indefinite almost contact metric manifolds $\overline{M}$ according to Definition 3.1:

- (1) Condition (i) implies that $\dim(\text{Rad } TM) = s \geq 3$,
- (2) Condition (ii) implies that $\dim(D) \geq 4l \geq 4$ and $\dim(D_2) = \dim(\mathcal{L})$.

Next we adopt the definition of ascreen lightlike submanifolds used by Jin in [13] for the case of contact ambient manifold in case of lightlike submanifolds of an almost contact manifold.

Definition 3.5. [13] A lightlike submanifold M , immersed in a semi-Riemannian manifold $\overline{M}$ is said to be ascreen if the structural vector field, ξ , belongs to $\text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)$.

Note that, since $\mathcal{L}$ defined in Definition 3.1 is a subbundle of $\text{ltr}(TM)$, there is a complementary subbundle ν of $\text{ltr}(TM)$ such that

$$\text{ltr}(TM) = \mathcal{L} \perp \nu.$$

It is easy to check that the complementary subbundle ν is invariant under $\bar{\phi}$, i.e. $\bar{\phi}\nu = \nu$.

Let M be an ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$. Then by Definition 3.5, the structural vector field $\xi \in \text{Rad } TM \oplus \text{ltr}(TM)$. This means that ξ is either in $\text{Rad } TM$ or $\text{ltr}(TM)$. If $\xi \in \text{Rad } TM$, then $\xi \in D_2$ since $\bar{\phi}D_1 = D_1$ and $\bar{\phi}\xi = 0$. On the other hand, if $\xi \in \text{ltr}(TM)$, then $\xi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$ because of the fact that $\bar{\phi}\nu = \nu$ and $\bar{\phi}\xi = 0$. Therefore, we have the following.

Lemma 3.6. *If M is an ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $\bar{M}$, then $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2 \oplus \mathcal{L})$.*

Theorem 3.7. *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a 3-lightlike QGCR submanifold of an indefinite almost contact manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$. Then M is ascreen lightlike submanifold if and only if $\bar{\phi}\mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}D_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that M is ascreen. Then, by Lemma 3.6, $\xi \in \Gamma(D_2 \oplus \mathcal{L})$. Since M is a 3-lightlike QGCR submanifold, and $\text{Rad } TM = D_1 \oplus D_2$ with $\bar{\phi}D_1 = D_1$ and $\text{ltr}(TM) = \mathcal{L} \perp \nu$ with $\bar{\phi}\nu = \nu$, the distributions D_2 and $\mathcal{L}$ are of rank 1. Consequently,

$$\xi = aE + bN, \quad (3.8)$$

where $E \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, and $a = \eta(N)$ and $b = \eta(E)$ are non-zero smooth functions. Applying $\bar{\phi}$ to the first relation of (3.8) and using the fact that $\bar{\phi}\xi = 0$, we get

$$a\bar{\phi}E + b\bar{\phi}N = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.9), one gets $\bar{\phi}E = \omega\bar{\phi}N$, where $\omega = -\frac{b}{a} \neq 0$, a non vanishing smooth function. This implies that $\bar{\phi}\mathcal{L} \cap \bar{\phi}D_2 \neq \{0\}$. Since $\text{rank}(\bar{\phi}D_2) = \text{rank}(\bar{\phi}\mathcal{L}) = 1$, it follows that $\bar{\phi}\mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}D_2$.

Conversely, suppose that $\bar{\phi}\mathcal{L} = \bar{\phi}D_2$. Then, there exists a non-vanishing smooth function ω such that

$$\bar{\phi}E = \omega\bar{\phi}N. \quad (3.10)$$

Taking the $\bar{g}$ -product of (3.10) with respect to $\bar{\phi}E$ and $\bar{\phi}N$ in turn, we get

$$b^2 = \omega(ab - 1) \text{ and } \omega a^2 = ab - 1. \quad (3.11)$$

Since $\omega \neq 0$, by (3.11), we have $a \neq 0$, $b \neq 0$ and $b^2 = (\omega a)^2$. The latter gives $b = \pm \omega a$. The case $b = \omega a$ implies that $ab = \omega a^2 = ab - 1$, which is a contradiction. Thus $b = -\omega a$, from which $2ab = 1$. Since $\omega = -\frac{b}{a}$, $a \neq 0$ and $\bar{\phi}E = \omega\bar{\phi}N$, it is easy to see that $a\bar{\phi}E + b\bar{\phi}N = 0$. Applying $\bar{\phi}$ to this equation, and using the first relation in (2.1), together with $2ab = 1$, we get $\xi = aE + bN$. Therefore, M is ascreen lightlike submanifold of $\bar{M}$. $\square$

In the ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold case, the item (ii) of Definition 3.1 implies that $\dim(D) \geq 4l \geq 4$ and $\dim(D_2) = \dim(\mathcal{L})$. Thus $\dim(M) \geq 7$ and $\dim(\overline{M}) \geq 11$, and any 7-dimensional ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold is 3-lightlike.

As an example for QGCR-lightlike submanifold of indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold, we have the following.

Example 3.8. Let $\overline{M} = (\mathbb{R}_4^{11}, \overline{g})$ be a semi-Euclidean space, where $\overline{g}$ is of signature $(-, -, +, +, +, -, -, +, +, +, +)$ with respect to the canonical basis

$$(\partial x_1, \partial x_2, \partial x_3, \partial x_4, \partial x_5, \partial y_1, \partial y_2, \partial y_3, \partial y_4, \partial y_5, \partial z).$$

Let (M, g) be a submanifold of $\overline{M}$ given by

$$x^1 = y^4, \quad y^1 = -x^4, \quad z = x^2 \sin \theta + y^2 \cos \theta \quad \text{and} \quad y^5 = (x^5)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$. By direct calculations, we can easily check that the vector fields

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= \partial x_4 + \partial y_1 + y^4 \partial z, & E_2 &= \partial x_1 - \partial y_4 + y^1 \partial z, \\ E_3 &= \sin \theta \partial x_2 + \cos \theta \partial y_2 + \partial z, & X_1 &= 2y^5 \partial x_5 + \partial y_5 + 2(y^5)^2 \partial z, \\ X_2 &= -\cos \theta \partial x_2 + \sin \theta \partial y_2 - y^2 \cos \theta \partial z, & X_3 &= 2\partial y_3, & X_4 &= 2(\partial x_3 + y^3 \partial z), \end{aligned}$$

form a local frame of TM . From this, we can see that $\text{Rad } TM$ is spanned by $\{E_1, E_2, E_3\}$, and therefore, M is 3-lightlike. Further, $\overline{\phi}_0 E_1 = E_2$, therefore we set $D_1 = \text{Span}\{E_1, E_2\}$. Also $\overline{\phi}_0 E_3 = -X_2$ and thus $D_2 = \text{Span}\{E_3\}$. It is easy to see that $\overline{\phi}_0 X_3 = X_4$, so we set $D_0 = \text{Span}\{X_3, X_4\}$. On the other hand, following direct calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= \frac{1}{2}(\partial x_4 - \partial y_1 + y^4 \partial z), & N_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(-\partial x_1 - \partial y_4 + y^1 \partial z), \\ N_3 &= \frac{1}{2}(-\sin \theta \partial x_2 - \cos \theta \partial y_2 + \partial z), & W &= \partial x_5 - 2y^5 \partial y_5 + y^5 \partial z, \end{aligned}$$

from which $\text{ltr}(TM) = \text{Span}\{N_1, N_2, N_3\}$ and $S(TM^\perp) = \text{Span}\{W\}$. Clearly, $\overline{\phi}_0 N_2 = -N_1$. Further, $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = \frac{1}{2}X_2$ and thus $\mathcal{L} = \text{Span}\{N_3\}$. Notice that $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = -\frac{1}{2}\overline{\phi}_0 E_3$ and therefore $\overline{\phi}_0 \mathcal{L} = \overline{\phi}_0 D_2$. Also, $\overline{\phi}_0 W = -X_1$ and therefore $\mathcal{S} = \text{Span}\{W\}$. Finally, we calculate ξ as follows; Using Theorem 3.7 we have $\xi = aE_3 + bN_3$. Applying $\overline{\phi}_0$ to this equation we obtain $a\overline{\phi}_0 E_3 + b\overline{\phi}_0 N_3 = 0$. Now, substituting for $\overline{\phi}_0 E_3$ and $\overline{\phi}_0 N_3$ in this equation we get $2a = b$, from which we get $\xi = \frac{1}{2}(E_3 + 2N_3)$. Since $\overline{\phi}_0 \xi = 0$ and $\overline{g}(\xi, \xi) = 1$, we conclude that (M, g) is an ascreen QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}$.

Proposition 3.9. *There exist no co-isotropic, isotropic or totally lightlike proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold.*

4. SOME CHARACTERIZATION THEOREMS

In this section, we discuss an existence and some non-existence theorems for proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \overline{g})$.

Theorem 4.1. *There exist no totally umbilical proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \overline{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ tangent to M .*

Proof. Suppose that $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$ and that M is totally umbilical in $\overline{M}$. Then, $\xi = \xi_R + \xi_S$ and $b_i = c_\alpha = 0$. Using (2.7) and (2.15), we get

$$-\overline{\phi}X = \overline{H}X + \nabla_X \xi + \sum_{i=1}^r h_i^l(X, \xi)N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n h_\alpha^s(X, \xi)W_\alpha, \quad (4.1)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Taking the $\overline{g}$ -product of (4.1) with respect to $W_\alpha \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S})$ we get

$$g(X, \overline{\phi}W_\alpha) = \overline{g}(\overline{H}X, W_\alpha) + \epsilon_\alpha h_\alpha^s(X, \xi), \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (4.2)$$

Now, letting $X = \overline{\phi}W_\alpha$ in (4.2) we obtain

$$g(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \overline{\phi}W_\alpha) = \overline{g}(\overline{H}\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, W_\alpha) + \epsilon_\alpha h_\alpha^s(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \xi). \quad (4.3)$$

Since $c_\alpha = \epsilon_\alpha \eta(W_\alpha) = 0$, then $-\overline{H}\overline{\phi}W_\alpha = (\overline{\nabla}_{W_\alpha} \overline{\phi})\xi + W_\alpha$ and the first term on the right hand side of (4.3) therefore simplifies as follows using (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{g}(\overline{H}\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, W_\alpha) &= \overline{g}((\overline{\nabla}_{W_\alpha} \overline{\phi})\xi, W_\alpha) + \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \\ &= -\overline{g}(\xi, (\overline{\nabla}_{W_\alpha} \overline{\phi})W_\alpha) + \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \\ &= -\overline{g}(\xi, \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha)\xi) + \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) \\ &= -\overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) + \overline{g}(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Then substituting $\overline{g}(\overline{H}\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = 0$ in (4.3) we obtain

$$g(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \overline{\phi}W_\alpha) = \epsilon_\alpha h_\alpha^s(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \xi). \quad (4.5)$$

By virtue of the fact that M is totally umbilical in $\overline{M}$, (4.5) yields

$$g(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \overline{\phi}W_\alpha) = \epsilon_\alpha \mathcal{H}_\alpha^s g(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \xi) = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, simplifying (4.6) while considering $\eta(W_\alpha) = 0$, we get $g(\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, \overline{\phi}W_\alpha) = g(W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = \epsilon_\alpha = 0$, which is a contradiction. $\square$

We notice from the above theorem that if ξ is tangent to M , then $\overline{g}(\overline{H}\overline{\phi}W_\alpha, W_\alpha) = 0$. It is easy to see that $\overline{g}(\overline{H}X, W_\alpha) = 0$, for all $X \in \Gamma(\overline{\phi}\mathcal{S})$. Hence, $\overline{H}X$ has no component along $\mathcal{S}$ for all $X \in \Gamma(\overline{\phi}\mathcal{S})$.

Corollary 4.2. *There exist no totally geodesic proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \overline{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ tangent to M .*

Using Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 4.2 above we get the following non-existence theorem;

Theorem 4.3. *There exist no totally umbilical or totally geodesic proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\overline{M}, \overline{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \overline{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ tangent to M .*

When the structure vector field ξ is normal, we have the following.

Theorem 4.4. *There exist no proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ normal to M .*

Proof. Suppose that $\xi \in \Gamma(TM^\perp)$, then

$$\xi = \xi_R + \xi_{S^\perp}, \quad \xi_t = \xi_S = 0, \quad b_i = 0, \quad a_i \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_\alpha \neq 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Differentiating the first equation of (4.7) with respect to X and using (2.6), (2.15) and (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\phi}X &= \sum_{i=1}^r X(a_i)E_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n X(c_\alpha)W_\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \left\{ \nabla_X E_i + \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^l(X, E_i)N_j + \sum_{\beta=r+1}^n h_\beta^s(X, E_i)W_\beta \right\} \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha \left\{ -A_{W_\alpha}X + \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{\alpha i}(X)N_i + \sum_{\beta=r+1}^n \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(X)W_\beta \right\} + \bar{H}X, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Taking the $\bar{g}$ -product of (4.8) with respect to E_k and $\bar{\phi}N_k \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ in turn, where $N_k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, we get

$$\bar{g}(X, \bar{\phi}E_k) = - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i h_i^l(X, E_k) - \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha h_\alpha^s(X, E_k) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}X, E_k). \quad (4.9)$$

Replacing X with $\bar{\phi}N_k$ in (4.9) we obtain

$$\bar{g}(N_k, E_k) = - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i h_i^l(\bar{\phi}N_k, E_k) - \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha h_\alpha^s(\bar{\phi}N_k, E_k) + \bar{g}(\bar{H}\bar{\phi}N_k, E_k). \quad (4.10)$$

The $\bar{g}$ -product with $\bar{\phi}N_k$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}N_k) &= - \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \bar{g}(A_{E_i}^* X, \bar{\phi}N_k) + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \sum_{j=1}^r h_j^l(X, E_i) \lambda_j(\bar{\phi}N_k) \\ &- \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha \bar{g}(A_{W_\alpha} X, \bar{\phi}N_k) + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha \sum_{j=1}^r \varphi_{\alpha j}(X) \lambda_j(\bar{\phi}N_k) \\ &+ \bar{g}(\bar{H}X, \bar{\phi}N_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Now, using (2.22), (2.23) and (2.24) in (4.11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}N_k) &= \sum_{i=1}^r a_i \bar{g}(A_{E_i}^* X, \bar{\phi}N_k) + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha \bar{g}(A_{W_\alpha} X, \bar{\phi}N_k) \\ &- \bar{g}(\bar{H}X, \bar{\phi}N_k), \end{aligned}$$

which on replacing X with E_k and simplifying gives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{g}(E_k, N_k) &= b_k a_k + \sum_{i=1}^r a_i h_i^l(E_k, \bar{\phi} N_k) + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha h_\alpha^s(E_k, \bar{\phi} N_k) \\ &\quad - \bar{g}(\bar{H} E_k, \bar{\phi} N_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Adding (4.10) to (4.12) yields

$$2\bar{g}(E_k, N_k) = \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{\phi} N_k, E_k) - \bar{g}(\bar{H} E_k, \bar{\phi} N_k). \quad (4.13)$$

But $\bar{H}$ is skew-symmetric and thus (4.13) becomes

$$\bar{g}(E_k, N_k) = \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{\phi} N_k, E_k) = 1. \quad (4.14)$$

By virtue of (4.14), it easy to see that $\bar{H} \bar{\phi} N_k \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$, particularly, in the direction of N_k . Hence, there exist a non vanishing smooth function f_k such that $\bar{H} \bar{\phi} N_k = f_k N_k$. Taking the $\bar{g}$ -product of this equation with respect to ξ , we get $0 = \bar{g}(\bar{H} \bar{\phi} N_k, \xi) = \bar{g}(f_k N_k, \xi) = f_k \bar{g}(N_k, \xi) = f_k a_k$, from which $a_k = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, in a proper QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold, ξ does not belong to $TM^\perp$. $\square$

In particular, we have the following.

Corollary 4.5. *There exist no totally umbilical or totally geodesic proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ normal to M .*

Corollary 4.6. *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a proper QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$. If the structure vector field ξ is normal to M , then*

- (1) $\bar{H}X$ belongs to $\text{ltr}(TM)$ for all $X \in \Gamma(\bar{\phi}\mathcal{L})$.
- (2) $\bar{H}X$ belongs to $\text{Rad } TM$ for all $X \in \Gamma(\bar{\phi}D_2)$.

Theorem 4.7. *There exist no totally umbilical proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$, with totally umbilical screen distributions, of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ transversal to M .*

Proof. Suppose that $\xi \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$ and that M is totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$, then

$$\xi = \xi_t + \xi_{S^\perp}, \quad \xi_R = \xi_S = 0, \quad a_i = 0, \quad b_i \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_\alpha \neq 0. \quad (4.15)$$

Differentiating the first equation of (4.15) with respect to X and using (2.6), (2.16) and (2.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{\phi}X &= \sum_{i=1}^r X(b_i)N_i + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n X(c_\alpha)W_\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^r b_i\{-A_{N_i}X + \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_{ij}(X)N_j \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n \rho_{i\alpha}(X)W_\alpha\} + \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha\{-A_{W_\alpha}X + \sum_{i=1}^r \varphi_{\alpha i}(X)N_i \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta=r+1}^n \sigma_{\alpha\beta}(X)W_\beta\} + \bar{H}X, \end{aligned}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Now, taking the $\bar{g}$ -product of the above equation with respect to $\bar{\phi}N_k \in \Gamma(S(TM))$ where $N_k \in \Gamma(\mathcal{L})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}X, \bar{\phi}N_k) &= -\sum_{i=1}^r b_i g(A_{N_i}X, \bar{\phi}N_k) - \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha g(A_{W_\alpha}X, \bar{\phi}N_k) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{H}X, \bar{\phi}N_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Replacing X with $E_k \in \Gamma(D_2)$ in (4.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) &= -\sum_{i=1}^r b_i g(A_{N_i}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) - \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha g(A_{W_\alpha}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{H}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Substituting (2.23) and the first equation of (2.26) in (4.17) gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) &= -\sum_{i=1}^r b_i h_i^*(E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) - \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^n c_\alpha h_\alpha^s(E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) \\ &\quad + \bar{g}(\bar{H}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k). \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Since M is totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$, with a totally umbilical screen, then (4.18) yields

$$-\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k) = \bar{g}(\bar{H}E_k, \bar{\phi}N_k), \quad (4.19)$$

which reduces to $\bar{g}(E_k, N_k) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}\bar{H}E_k, N_k) = 1$. It is easy to see from this equation that $\bar{\phi}\bar{H}E_k \in \Gamma(\text{Rad } TM)$. In particular, there exist non vanishing smooth functions w_k such that $\bar{\phi}\bar{H}E_k = w_k E_k$. Taking the $\bar{g}$ -product of this last equation with respect to ξ , we obtain $0 = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}\bar{H}E_k, \xi) = w_k \bar{g}(E_k, \xi) = w_k b_k$. Hence, $b_k = 0$, and this contradiction completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.8. *There exist no totally geodesic proper QGCR-lightlike submanifolds $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$, with totally geodesic screen distributions, of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$ with the structure vector field ξ transversal to M .*

Next, we consider the special case $\bar{H} = 0$. In particular, the indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$ with $\bar{H} = 0$ becomes Sasakian. An indefinite

Sasakian manifold $\overline{M}$ is called an indefinite Sasakian space form, denoted by $\overline{M}(c)$, if it has the constant $\overline{\phi}$ -sectional curvature c [14]. The curvature tensor $\overline{R}$ of the indefinite space form $\overline{M}(c)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 4\overline{R}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{Z} = & (c+3)\{\overline{g}(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\overline{X} - \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\overline{Y}\} + (1-c)\{\eta(\overline{X})\eta(\overline{Z})\overline{Y} \\ & - \eta(\overline{Y})\eta(\overline{Z})\overline{X} + \overline{g}(\overline{X}, \overline{Z})\eta(\overline{Y})\xi - \overline{g}(\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\eta(\overline{X})\xi \\ & + \overline{g}(\overline{\phi}\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})\overline{\phi}\overline{X} + \overline{g}(\overline{\phi}\overline{Z}, \overline{X})\overline{\phi}\overline{Y} - 2\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}\overline{X}, \overline{Y})\overline{\phi}\overline{Z}\}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

for any $\overline{X}, \overline{Y}, \overline{Z} \in \Gamma(T\overline{M})$.

Now, using (4.20) we have the following existence theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a lightlike submanifold of an indefinite Sasakian space form $\overline{M}(c)$ with $c \neq 1$. Then, M is a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}(c)$ if and only if*

- (a) *The maximal invariant subspaces of T_pM , $p \in M$ define a distribution*

$$D = D_0 \perp D_1,$$

where $\text{Rad } TM = D_1 \oplus D_2$ and D_0 is a non-degenerate invariant distribution.

- (b) *There exists a lightlike transversal vector bundle $\text{ltr}(TM)$ such that*

$$\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)E, N) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D_0), \quad E \in \Gamma(\text{Rad } TM), \quad N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM)).$$

- (c) *There exists a vector subbundle M_2 on M such that*

$$\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)W, W') = 0, \quad \forall W, W' \in \Gamma(M_2),$$

where M_2 is orthogonal to D and $\overline{R}$ is the curvature tensor of $\overline{M}(c)$.

Proof. Suppose M is a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\overline{M}(c)$ with $c \neq 1$. Then, $D = D_0 \perp D_1$ is a maximal invariant subspace. Next, from (4.20), for $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_0)$, $E \in \Gamma(D_2)$ and $N \in \Gamma(\text{ltr}(TM))$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)E, N) &= \frac{c-1}{4}\{\eta(X)\eta(E)\overline{g}(Y, N) - \eta(Y)\eta(E)\overline{g}(X, N) \\ &\quad - 2g(\overline{\phi}X, Y)\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}E, N)\} \\ &= \frac{1-c}{2}g(\overline{\phi}X, Y)\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}E, N). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(\overline{\phi}X, Y) \neq 0$ and $\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}E, N) = 0$, we have $\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)E, N) = 0$. Similarly, from (4.20), one obtains

$$\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)W, W') = \frac{1-c}{2}g(\overline{\phi}X, Y)\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}W, W'),$$

$\forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D_0)$ and $W, W' \in \Gamma(\overline{\phi}\mathcal{S})$. Let $W = \overline{\phi}W_1$ and $W' = \overline{\phi}W_2$ with $W_1, W_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S})$. Since $g(\overline{\phi}X, Y) \neq 0$ and $\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}W, W') = \overline{g}(\overline{\phi}^2W_1, \overline{\phi}W_2) = \overline{g}(\overline{\phi}W_1, W_2) = 0$. Therefore, we have $\overline{g}(\overline{R}(X, Y)W, W') = 0$.

Conversely, assume that (a), (b) and (c) are satisfied. Then (a) implies that $D = D_0 \perp D_1$ is invariant. From (b) and (4.20) we get

$$\overline{g}(\overline{\phi}E, N) = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

which implies $\bar{\phi}E \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. Thus, some part of $\text{Rad } TM$, say D_2 , belongs to $S(TM)$ under the action of $\bar{\phi}$. Further, (4.21) implies $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E, N) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}^2 E, \bar{\phi}N) = \bar{g}(-E + \eta(E)\xi, \bar{\phi}N) = -\bar{g}(E, \bar{\phi}N) = 0$. Therefore, a part of $\text{ltr}(TM)$, say $\mathcal{L}$, also belongs to $S(TM)$ under the action of $\bar{\phi}$. On the other hand, (c) and (4.20) imply $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}W, W') = 0$. Hence we obtain $\bar{\phi}M_2 \perp M_2$. Also, $g(\bar{\phi}E, W) = -g(E, \bar{\phi}W) = -c_\alpha \eta(E)$ implies that generally $\bar{\phi}M_2 \oplus \text{Rad } TM$ or equivalently, $M_2 \oplus \bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM$. Now, from $M_2 \oplus \bar{\phi}\text{Rad } TM$ and the fact that $\bar{\phi}D_1 = D_1$, then $M_2 \perp D_1$ and $M_2 \oplus \bar{\phi}D_2$. This also tells us that $\bar{\phi}M_2$ has a component along $\text{ltr}(TM)$, essentially coming from ξ . On the other hand, invariant and non-degenerate D_0 implies $g(\bar{\phi}W, X) = 0$, for $X \in \Gamma(D_0)$. Thus, $M_2 \perp D_0$ and $\bar{\phi}M_2 \perp D_0$. Since $\xi \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$, we sum up the above results and conclude that

$$S(TM) = \{\bar{\phi}D_2 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2\} \perp D_0,$$

where $M_1 = \bar{\phi}\mathcal{L}$. Hence M is QGCR-lightlike submanifold of $\bar{M}(c)$ and the proof is completed. $\square$

Note that conditions (b) and (c) are independent of the position of ξ and hence valid for GCR-lightlike submanifolds [11] and QGCR-lightlike submanifolds of an indefinite Sasakian space form $\bar{M}(c)$. When ξ is tangent to M , it is well known [5] that $\xi \in \Gamma(S(TM))$. In this case, one has a GCR-lightlike submanifold, in which $D_2 \perp \bar{\phi}D_2$ is an invariant subbundle of TM , leading to $D = D_1 \perp D_2 \perp \bar{\phi}D_2 \perp D_0$ as the maximal invariant subspace of TM . On the other hand, when M is QGCR-lightlike submanifold then $\xi \in \Gamma(T\bar{M})$ and thus $D_2 \perp \bar{\phi}D_2$ is generally not an invariant subbundle of TM since the action of $\bar{\phi}$ on it gives a component along ξ . In particular, let $E \in \Gamma(D_2)$ then $E + \bar{\phi}E \in \Gamma(D_2 \perp \bar{\phi}D_2)$. But on applying $\bar{\phi}$ to this subbundle and considering the fact that $\eta(E) \neq 0$ we get $-E + \bar{\phi}E + \eta(E)\xi \notin \Gamma(D_2 \perp \bar{\phi}D_2)$. Hence, $D = D_0 \perp D_1$ is the maximal invariant subbundle of TM . Further, in the case of QGCR-lightlike submanifold, $\bar{\phi}D_2 \oplus M_2$. In fact, let $\bar{\phi}E \in \Gamma(\bar{\phi}D_2)$ and $W = \bar{\phi}W_1 \in \Gamma(M_2)$, where $W_1 \in \Gamma(S)$. Then, $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E, W) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E, \bar{\phi}W_1) = -\eta(E)\eta(W_1) \neq 0$. This explains the second direct sum in decomposition $S(TM) = \{\bar{\phi}D_2 \oplus M_1 \oplus M_2\} \perp D_0$. For the case of GCR-lightlike submanifold, $\eta(E) = \eta(W_1) = 0$, hence $\bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E, W) = \bar{g}(\bar{\phi}E, \bar{\phi}W_1) = 0$. This implies that $\bar{\phi}D_2 \perp M_2$ and hence the first direct orthogonal sum in the decomposition $S(TM) = \{\bar{\phi}D_2 \oplus M_1\} \perp M_2 \perp D_0 \perp \langle \xi \rangle$.

5. INTEGRABILITY OF THE DISTRIBUTIONS D AND $\hat{D}$

Let M be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{g}, \bar{\phi}, \xi, \eta)$. From (2.12), the tangent bundle of any QGCR lightlike submanifold, TM , can be rewritten as

$$TM = D \oplus \hat{D}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $D = D_0 \perp D_1$ and $\hat{D} = \{D_2 \perp \bar{\phi}D_2\} \oplus \bar{D}$.

Notice that D is invariant with respect to $\bar{\phi}$ while $\hat{D}$ is not generally anti-invariant with respect to $\bar{\phi}$.

Let π and $\hat{\pi}$ be the projections of TM onto D and $\hat{D}$ respectively. Then, using the first equation of (5.1) we can decompose X as

$$X = \pi X + \hat{\pi} X, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM). \quad (5.2)$$

It is easy to see that $\bar{\phi}\pi X \in \Gamma(D)$. However, the action of $\bar{\phi}$ on $\hat{\pi}X$ gives a tangential and transversal component due to a generalized ξ , i.e.,

$$\bar{\phi}X = P_1X + P_2X + QX, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (5.3)$$

where $P_1X = \bar{\phi}\pi X$ while P_2X is the tangential component of $\bar{\phi}\hat{\pi}X$ and QX is the transversal component of $\bar{\phi}X$, essentially coming from $\bar{\phi}\hat{\pi}X$ since $\bar{\phi}D = D$.

By grouping the tangential and transversal parts in (5.3), it is easy to see that

$$\bar{\phi}X = PX + QX, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM), \quad (5.4)$$

where $PX = P_1X + P_2X$.

Note that if $X \in \Gamma(D)$, then $P_2X = QX = 0$, and $\bar{\phi}X = P_1X$.

The equation (5.4) can be properly understood through the following specific case of vector field in $\bar{D} \subset \hat{D}$. Let ξ_M and $\xi_{\text{tr}M}$ be the tangential and transversal components of ξ . If $X \in \Gamma(\bar{D})$ and since $\bar{D} = \bar{\phi}\mathcal{S} \oplus \bar{\phi}\mathcal{L}$, then

$$\bar{\phi}X = SX + LX - \{\eta(SX) + \eta(LY)\}\xi_M - \{\eta(SX) + \eta(LY)\}\xi_{\text{tr}M}.$$

Consequently, for $X \in \Gamma(\bar{D})$,

$$\begin{aligned} P_1X &= 0, \\ P_2X &= -\{\eta(SX) + \eta(LY)\}\xi_M, \\ \text{and } QX &= SX + LX - \{\eta(SX) + \eta(LY)\}\xi_{\text{tr}M}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for any $V \in \Gamma(\text{tr}(TM))$, $V = SV + LV$, and

$$\bar{\phi}V = tV + fV, \quad (5.5)$$

where tV and fV are the tangential and transversal components of $\bar{\phi}V$, respectively.

Differentiating (5.4) with respect to Y we get

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y PX + \bar{\nabla}_Y QX = \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\phi}X. \quad (5.6)$$

Then using (2.15), (2.16), (2.17) and (2.4) we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_Y PX + \bar{\nabla}_Y QX = \nabla_Y PX + h(PX, Y) - A_{QX}Y + \nabla_Y^t QX, \quad (5.7)$$

and from (2.4), we have;

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\phi}X &= \bar{\phi}(\nabla_Y X) + \bar{\phi}(\nabla_X Y) + 2\bar{\phi}h(X, Y) - \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\phi}Y \\ &\quad + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_M + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_{\text{tr}M} - \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y \\ &= P(\nabla_Y X) + Q(\nabla_Y X) + P(\nabla_X Y) + Q(\nabla_X Y) \\ &\quad + 2th(X, Y) + 2fh(X, Y) - \nabla_X PY - \nabla_X^t QY \\ &\quad - h(X, PY) + A_{QY}X + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_M + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_{\text{tr}M} \\ &\quad - \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y. \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Finally putting (5.7) and (5.8) in (5.6) and then comparing the tangential and transversal components of the resulting equation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y P)X + (\nabla_X P)Y &= A_{QX}Y + A_{QY}X + 2th(X, Y) \\ &\quad + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_M - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Y^T Q)X + (\nabla_X^T Q)Y &= -h(PX, Y) - h(X, PY) \\ &\quad + 2fh(X, Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_{trM}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where

$$(\nabla_Y P)X = \nabla_Y PX - P(\nabla_Y X) \quad \text{and} \quad (\nabla_Y^T Q)X = \nabla_Y^t QX - Q(\nabla_Y X). \quad (5.11)$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} P[X, Y] &= -\nabla_Y PX - \nabla_X PY + 2P\nabla_X Y + A_{QX}Y + A_{QY}X \\ &\quad + 2th(X, Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_M - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Q[X, Y] &= -\nabla_Y^t QX - \nabla_X^t QY + 2Q\nabla_X Y - h(PX, Y) - h(X, PY) \\ &\quad + 2fh(X, Y) + 2\bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_{trM}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. The proof follows from (5.9) and (5.10). $\square$

Theorem 5.2. *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$. Then, the distribution D is integrable if and only if*

$$h(P_1 X, Y) + h(X, P_1 Y) = 2(Q\nabla_X Y + fh(X, Y) + \bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_{trM}),$$

$$\text{and } P_2[X, Y] = 0.$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward calculation. $\square$

The integrability of $\hat{D}$ is discussed as follows. Note that the distribution $\hat{D}$ is integrable if and only if, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(\hat{D})$, $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(\hat{D})$. The latter is equivalent to $P_1[X, Y] = 0$.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(M, g, S(TM), S(TM^\perp))$ be a QGCR-lightlike submanifold of an indefinite nearly Sasakian manifold $(\bar{M}, \bar{\phi}, \eta, \xi, \bar{g})$. Then, the distribution $\hat{D}$ is integrable if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{QX}Y + A_{QY}X - \nabla_Y P_2 X - \nabla_X P_2 Y \\ + 2(P_1(\nabla_X Y) + \bar{g}(X, Y)\xi_M + th(X, Y)) \in \Gamma(\hat{D}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(\hat{D})$.

Proof. Let $X, Y \in \Gamma(\widehat{D})$, then it is easy to see that $P_1X = P_1Y = 0$. Hence, $PX = P_2X$ and $PY = P_2Y$. Now using (5.12), we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\phi}[X, Y] &= P[X, Y] + Q[X, Y] \\
&= -\nabla_Y PX - \nabla_X PY + 2P\nabla_X Y + A_{QX}Y \\
&\quad + A_{QY}X + 2th(X, Y) + 2\overline{g}(X, Y)\xi_M - \eta(X)Y \\
&\quad - \eta(Y)X + Q[X, Y] \\
&= -\nabla_Y P_2X - \nabla_X P_2Y + 2P_1\nabla_X Y + A_{QX}Y \\
&\quad + A_{QY}X + 2th(X, Y) + 2\overline{g}(X, Y)\xi_M + 2P_2\nabla_X Y \\
&\quad - \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X + Q[X, Y].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

It is obvious from (5.14) that the last four terms belongs to $\widehat{D}$. Hence, the assertion follows from the remaining terms. $\square$

Let consider the lightlike submanifold M given in Example 3.8. The distribution D is spanned by $\{E_1, E_2, X_3, X_4\}$ while $\widehat{D}$ is spanned by $\{E_3, \overline{\phi}_0 E_3, \overline{\phi}_0 W\}$. By straightforward calculations, we can see that $[E_1, E_2] = 2\partial z = 2\xi$. Thus, $[E_1, E_2]$ does not belong to D and hence non integrable. On the other hand, $[E_3, \overline{\phi}_0 E_3] = -[E_3, X_2] = \cos^2 \theta \partial z = \cos^2 \theta \xi$. Since ξ does not belong to $\widehat{D}$, we can see that $\widehat{D}$ is not integrable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S. Ssekajja is grateful to the African Institute of Mathematical Sciences, AIMS, in Sénégal and the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, for their financial support during this research. The authors thank the referees for helping them to improve the presentation.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ahmad and M. D. Siddiqui, On a Nearly Sasakian manifold with a semi-symmetric semi-metric connection, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 35, 1725 - 1732. 2002.
- [2] D. E. Blair, D. K. Showers and K. Yano, Nearly Sasakian structures, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 27 (1976), 175-180.
- [3] D. E. Blair, *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics 203. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2002.
- [4] A. Bonome, R. Castro, E. García-Rio, L. Hervella, Curvature of indefinite almost contact manifolds, *J. geom.*, 58 (1997), 66-86.
- [5] C. Calin, Contributions to geometry of CR-submanifold, Ph.D. Thesis, University
- [6] B. Cappelletti-Montano and G. Dileo, Nearly Sasakian geometry and $SU(2)$ -structures, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, to appear, (doi: 10.1007/s10231-015-0496-9).
- [7] K. L. Duggal and A. Bejancu, *Lightlike submanifolds of semi-Riemannian manifolds and applications*, Mathematics and Its Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1996.
- [8] K. L. Duggal and D. H. Jin, *Null curves and hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian manifolds*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd, 2007.
- [9] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Lightlike Submanifolds of Indefinite Sasakian Manifolds, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, vol. 2007, Article ID 57585, 21 pages.
- [10] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, *Differential geometry of lightlike submanifolds*, Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2010.

- [11] K. L. Duggal and B. Sahin, Generalised Cauchy–Riemannian lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 122 (1–2). vol. 2008, 16 pages.
- [12] K. L. Duggal and D. H. Jin, Generic lightlike submanifolds of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, *Int. Electronic Journal of geometry*. Vol. 5 No.1 pp. 108-119 (2012).
- [13] D.H. Jin, Indefinite trans-Sasakian manifold admitting an ascreen Half lightlike submanifold, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* 29 (2014), No. 3, pp. 451-461.
- [14] F. Massamba, Totally contact umbilical lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Sasakian manifolds, *Kodai Math. J.*, 31 (2008), 338-358.
- [15] F. Massamba, On semi-parallel lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds, *J. Geom.*, 95 (2009), 73-89.
- [16] F. Massamba, On lightlike geometry in indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. *Math. Slovaca* 62 (2) (2012), 315-344.
- [17] F. Massamba, Symmetries of null geometry in indefinite Kenmotsu manifolds. *Mediterr., J. Math.* 10 (2) (2013), 1079-1099.
- [18] F. Massamba and T. Tshikuna-Matamba, Horizontally submersions of contact CR-submanifolds. *Turkish J. Math.*, 38 (2014), no. 3, 436-453
- [19] A. Tarafdar and A. Mayra, On Nearly Sasakian manifold, *Tomul XLV, s.I a, Matematica*, 1999, f.2, 1 - 4.
- [20] K. Yano and M. Kon, Structures on Manifolds, vol. 3 of Series in Pure Mathematics, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.

* SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL
 PRIVATE BAG X01, SCOTTSVILLE 3209
 SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: massfort@yahoo.fr, Massamba@ukzn.ac.za

** SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE
 UNIVERSITY OF KWAZULU-NATAL
 PRIVATE BAG X01, SCOTTSVILLE 3209
 SOUTH AFRICA
E-mail address: ssekajja.samuel.buwaga@aims-senegal.org